Project No: 2023-1-RO01-KA220-HED-000166242

EDU4Plastic

Mixed Waste Sorting

Innovation in the Plastic World

Aniela POP, Florica MANEA & Anca DRĂGHICI,
Politehnica University of Timisoara, Romania

Aug 2024 – Jan 2025

Universitatea Politehnica Timişoara

DERMOL digital business solutions

FACULTY OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE VALÈNCIA

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DELL'INSUBRIA

Universitatea Transilvania din Brașov

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

Table of contents

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

1 Introduction

Context-setting — scale & complexity in urban and industrial streams

Since the 1950's global plastics production has risen from ≈1.7 million t yr^{-1} to well over 360 million t yr^{-1} today, growing faster than any other bulk material category. Roughly 40 % of all polymers become short-lived packaging, while construction, automotive, electronics and textiles claim most of the rest. As a result, two broad waste streams emerge (see Table 1).

Table 1: Urban and industrial waste streams

Waste stream	Typical source	Annual volume	Main characteristics	Sorting difficulty
Urban (post-consumer)	Municipal solid waste, household packaging, on-the-go litter	7-12 % of the ~2 Gt of MSW (≈200 Mt plastics)	Heterogeneous mix of PE, PP, PET, PS, multilayer films, labels, food residues	**High:** contamination, colourants, small items, composite materials
Industrial (post-industrial)	Production scrap, off-cuts, defective runs, distribution films	~10-20 % of total plastic discards	Relatively clean, mono-polymer fractions, known provenance	**Moderate-to-low:** larger pieces, lower contamination

Why the crisis is most visible in cities?

- **Concentration of consumption:** > 55 % of the world's population now lives in urban areas, generating dense, mixed waste streams that can overwhelm collection and separation infrastructure.
- **Packaging dominance:** Single-use items dominate municipal plastic arisings; their lightweight, multi-material designs make them hard to detect with density-based or optical sorters.

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

- **Export bans & leakage:** After China's 2018 National Sword policy, many cities lost overseas outlets for low-grade mixed plastics, forcing local landfilling, incineration or illicit dumping.
- **Environmental persistence:** An estimated **4.9 billion t** of plastic has accumulated in landfills and the natural environment, with urban waterways acting as major leakage pathways.

Complexity drivers that challenge state-of-the-art sorters:

- Material heterogeneity – even a single PET bottle may include PET (body), HDPE (cap), PP (label), aluminium (seal) and multilayer barrier coatings. Mixed-polymer films contain < 60 % of any one polymer, defeating pure-stream optical sorters.
- Additives & colourants – carbon-black pigments and brominated flame-retardants absorb NIR/IR spectra, causing "invisible" fractions that contaminate mono-material bales.
- Physical contamination – food residue, organics and dirt drastically reduce bale value and may disable flotation or electrostatic separation units.
- Item size spectrum – flexible films, sachets and < 30 mm fragments bypass standard eddy-current, air-jet or robotic pickers designed for rigid containers.

Implications for innovative sorting:

- Urban focus: High throughput + high heterogeneity demands multisensor arrays (NIR + MIR, RGB, X-ray), fast AI classifiers and robust pre-cleaning.
- Industrial focus: Purity targets can often be met through simpler density, optical or cascade sieving approaches, but valorising off-spec multilayer films still requires cutting-edge dissolution or chemical-recycling pretreatment.
- Systems perspective: Effective mixed-plastic sorting is the gateway to every downstream option—mechanical re-granulation, solvent purification, depolymerisation or pyro-conversion—as stressed by Lange (2021) and Zhang et al. (2023). Failure to sort means down-cycling at best and incineration or uncontrolled leakage at worst.

Key take-away: The plastic-waste challenge is not only about tonnage, but about **complex, rapidly evolving material mixes** concentrated in urban settings. Meeting recycling and circular-economy targets therefore hinges on deploying innovative, adaptable sorting technologies that can keep pace with this complexity in both consumer and industrial contexts.

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

2 Overview of Conventional Sorting Techniques

Efficient sorting of plastic waste is a prerequisite for high-quality recycling. Before advanced AI or robotic solutions, conventional physical and chemical separation techniques laid the foundation for industrial-scale plastic sorting. These methods exploit intrinsic material properties—such as density, surface charge, solubility, or optical signature—to distinguish polymers from one another.

Below is a structured overview of the key conventional sorting technologies, based on insights from Zhang et al. (2023), Lange (2021), and other uploaded references.

2.1 Density Separation (Sink-Float)

Principle: Plastics are submerged in liquids of specific densities (usually water, brine, or alcohol mixtures). Lighter polymers float; denser ones sink.

Table 2: Density Separation Application

Application	Commonly used to separate PE & PP (float) from PET, PVC, PS (sink)
Advantages	Simple, low-cost, widely implemented in mechanical recycling facilities
Limitations	Cannot distinguish between polymers with similar densities (e.g., PET vs PVC); limited effectiveness for multilayered or contaminated items

Real-world use: Applied in material recovery facilities (MRFs) after mechanical shredding and washing of rigid plastic streams.

2.2 Electrostatic Separation

Principle: Friction or contact charging (triboelectric effect) gives plastic particles different surface charges. These are then sorted using an electrostatic field.

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

Table 2: Electrostatic Separation Application

Application	**Differentiation of dry plastic flakes (e.g., PVC vs PET, or ABS vs PS)**
Advantages	Dry process; effective for non-polar and polar polymers
Limitations	Requires uniform particle size and dryness; sensitive to surface contamination; not suitable for flexible films

Real-world use: Often used in combination with granulation and air classification in electronics/plastic shredding facilities.

2.3 Froth Flotation

Principle: Plastic flakes are treated with surfactants that alter their hydrophobic/hydrophilic properties. When air is bubbled through the slurry, hydrophobic plastics attach to the bubbles and rise to the surface.

Table 3: Froth Flotation Application

Application	**Separation of PET from PVC, PS, and others; refining contaminated waste streams**
Advantages	Effective for polymers with different surface energies
Limitations	Requires precise chemical control (pH, surfactants); difficult with mixed or multilayered materials; generates wastewater

Real-world use: Used for cleaning and purifying high-value plastic fractions in PET bottle recycling lines.

2.4 Selective Dissolution and Precipitation

Principle: Specific solvents are used to dissolve one polymer while leaving others intact. The target polymer is then recovered by precipitation or evaporation.

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

Table 4: Selective Dissolution and Precipitation Application

Application	**Recovery of high-purity PS, PE, PET from multilayer films or contaminated fractions**
Advantages	Very high purity of recovered material; effective for complex multilayer or composite waste
Limitations	Solvent cost, toxicity, and recyclability; energy intensive; requires precise temperature and agitation control

Real-world use: Pilot and industrial-scale "dissolution recycling" (e.g., APK, CreaSolv, STRAP) for post-industrial films, packaging laminates, and electronic waste.

2.5 Spectroscopy-Based Sorting

Principle: Near-infrared (NIR) and mid-infrared (MIR) sensors detect the specific vibrational spectra of polymer molecules, enabling high-speed material identification on conveyors.

Table 5: Spectroscopy-Based Sorting Application

Application	**Differentiation of PE, PP, PET, PS, PVC, PLA, etc., in real time**
Advantages	Non-contact, fast (hundreds of items/sec); compatible with automated air-jet or robotic ejectors
Limitations	Struggles with black/dark materials; limited resolution for similar polymers (e.g., HDPE vs LDPE); high initial investment

Real-world use: Widely adopted in modern MRFs and plastic sorting facilities (e.g., TOMRA, Pellenc, STADLER lines); enhanced by machine learning for better classification accuracy.

Table 6: Comparative Summary

Technique	Purity	Throughput	CAPEX	Best For	Not Ideal For

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

Density (Sink-Float)	Medium	High	Low	Rigid plastics with clear density gap	Multilayer or film waste
Electrostatic	High	Medium	Medium	Clean, dry flakes of distinct polymers	Wet or mixed materials
Froth Flotation	Medium	Medium	Medium	Separation based on surface energy	Small-size, multilayer films
Dissolution	Very High	Low	High	Multilayer films, contaminated batches	High-volume, low-margin waste
NIR/MIR Spectroscopy	High	Very High	High	Real-time, clean rigid plastics	Black plastics, bio/compostables

Key Insights:

- **Conventional sorting technologies remain essential**, especially in early process stages like pre-sorting or after shredding.
- However, **complex, mixed, and contaminated streams**—such as post-consumer flexible packaging—often exceed the capabilities of these standalone methods.
- Innovations (discussed in the next section) aim to **augment or hybridize** these approaches using AI, digital twins, and multi-sensor systems.

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

3 Innovations in AI and Machine Learning-Based Sorting

As conventional sorting systems struggle with the growing complexity and volume of plastic waste, **artificial intelligence (AI)** and **deep learning models** have emerged as transformative tools in the recycling sector. These technologies are particularly useful for **automated visual recognition**, enabling more precise, real-time, and adaptable sorting than traditional sensor-based systems.

What Is the Role of AI and CNNs in Sorting?

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) are a class of deep learning models especially suited for **image classification and object detection tasks**. They can "learn" to recognize visual patterns—such as the shape, texture, and even brand or contamination level of plastic items—by being trained on large labeled datasets.

In the context of plastic waste sorting, CNNs are used for:

- Identifying the **type of plastic** (e.g., PET, HDPE, PP)
- Recognizing **product form** (bottle, tray, film)
- Assessing **contamination** or color
- Distinguishing between recyclable vs. non-recyclable items

This enables **automated systems** (e.g., smart bins, robotic arms, conveyor air-jet sorters) to make real-time, intelligent decisions.

3.1 Leading Models

Based on the study by Son & Ahn (2025), two state-of-the-art object detection models were evaluated for plastic waste sorting.

Table 7: Detection Models for Plastic Waste Sorting

Feature	YOLO v8	Mask R-CNN
Type	Single-shot detector	Two-stage detector

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

Output	Bounding boxes & class	Bounding boxes + pixel-level segmentation
Speed	Very fast (80–160 ms per image)	Slower (200–350 ms per image)
Accuracy	Good (0.867)	High (0.912)
Mean Average Precision (mAP)	0.922	0.911
Best Use Case	Real-time classification & robotics	High-precision offline sorting, research

3.1.1 YOLO v8 (You Only Look Once, Version 8)

- **Strengths**:
  - Ideal for **real-time processing** on high-speed conveyor belts
  - Lightweight, suitable for edge devices (e.g., NVIDIA Jetson)
  - High inference speed, effective with RGB or NIR images
- **Limitations**:
  - Offers only coarse object-level classification (no pixel-level detail)
  - Lower performance in detecting **fine defects** or overlapping items
- **Use Cases**:
  - Smart robotic sorters
  - Real-time air-jet sorting systems
  - Smart waste bins in public spaces

3.1.2 Mask R-CNN (Region-Based Convolutional Neural Network)

- **Strengths**:
  - Delivers **pixel-level segmentation** (e.g., separating label from bottle)
  - Better at detecting partially occluded or overlapping objects
  - Useful in detailed plastic classification (e.g., PE vs. multilayer film)

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

- **Limitations**:
    - Requires more computational power
    - Slower inference time limits real-time applicability
- **Use Cases**:
    - High-precision industrial sorting systems
    - Research labs and post-processing audits
    - Offline training datasets for MRF optimization

3.1.3 Combined and Hybrid Approaches

Both models can be used **complementarily**:

- **YOLO v8** detects and classifies items on a moving conveyor belt.
- **Mask R-CNN** further segments selected items for **quality control** or **AI-assisted learning** of material composition.

Furthermore, both models benefit from **transfer learning**—they can be trained on **existing recycling plant data** to improve accuracy over time.

Table 8: Deep Learning in Plastic Sorting

Model	Strength	Weakness	Ideal Context
YOLO v8	Speed, real-time use	Lower segmentation detail	Conveyor belt sorting, robotics
Mask R-CNN	Precision, segmentation	Slow inference, heavy model	Post-sorting inspection, quality control
CNN (general)	Feature recognition from raw images	Requires training data	Pre-classification, smart bin integration

Key Insight:

Artificial intelligence is not just a technological add-on—it is **redefining how waste sorting systems function**, making it possible to adapt to new packaging types,

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

contamination levels, and complex material blends that previously evaded mechanical or optical sorters.

The integration of CNN-based models like YOLO and Mask R-CNN is a step toward fully **autonomous, learning-enabled material recovery facilities**, especially as training data improves and hardware costs drop.

4 Smart Systems and Real-World Prototypes

4.1 Bridging Innovation and Practice in Plastic Waste Sorting

As the limitations of traditional sorting technologies become increasingly apparent—particularly for mixed, contaminated, or multilayer plastic waste—**smart sorting systems** are emerging as a **game-changing solution**. These systems combine **AI-powered classification, sensor fusion, and real-time automation** to enhance sorting accuracy, adaptability, and efficiency.

Beyond theoretical models, numerous **real-world prototypes** and pilot systems have demonstrated the practical feasibility of smart sorting, both at the municipal and industrial scale.

4.1.1 What Makes a System "Smart"?

A smart waste sorting system integrates:

- **Sensors** (RGB cameras, NIR, humidity, gas, ultrasonic, etc.)
- **AI-based classifiers** (e.g., CNNs, YOLO, Mask R-CNN, Inception-v3)
- **Edge computing platforms** (e.g., Jetson Nano, Raspberry Pi)
- **Automated actuators** (e.g., servo motors, pneumatic arms)
- **Feedback & learning loops** (model improvement based on new data)

These components allow systems to:

- Detect and identify waste types dynamically
- Learn from new items over time
- Operate autonomously in real-time
- Sort based on multiple criteria: material, color, size, cleanliness, or brand

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

4.1.2 Case Study 1

AUTORECYCLER — AI-Driven Prototyping (Echeverry & López, 2024)

Goal: Automate the classification of recyclable materials (plastic, glass, cardboard, metal) using artificial vision

Key Features:

- Hardware: Jetson Nano 2GB + USB camera
- Software: CNN trained on image dataset
- Sorting logic: Real-time inference of object class, directing it to the correct bin via servo mechanism
- Accuracy:
    - Plastic: 95%
    - Glass & metal: 96%
    - Cardboard: 94%

Highlights:

- Compact and low-cost (~$420)
- Adaptable to different environments (schools, households, offices)
- Open-source architecture supports further development

Limitations:

- Sensitive to lighting conditions
- Requires retraining for local waste types or new packaging designs

4.1.3 Case Study 2

Multi-Sensor Sorting System (Srivastava et al., 2021)

Goal: Create a fully automated waste segregation machine to detect and sort metal, plastic, and glass

System Components:

- Sensors: Proximity, capacitive, ultrasonic, moisture
- Controller: Raspberry Pi 3 Model B+

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

- Classification rules: Threshold-based logic for sensor signals
- Output: Mechanical arms triggered to push materials into corresponding bins

Advantages:

- Simple, low-cost system for public waste points
- No need for AI model training
- Energy-efficient and easy to scale

Limitations:

- Cannot differentiate between polymer types (e.g., PET vs. PP)
- Struggles with soiled or composite materials
- Not suitable for visual or brand-based sorting

4.1.4 Case Study 3

Smart Waste Bin System with Deep Learning (Pučnik et al., 2024)

Setup:

- Two approaches:
    1. Sensor-based system using NIR, CH_4, CO_2, and humidity sensors
    2. Camera-based system using RGB camera + deep learning

CNN Models Tested:

- Inception-v3: ~78% accuracy (best)
- ResNet-50, MobileNet-v2, DenseNet-201: Moderate to high accuracy

Use Case:

- Smart bin capable of separating plastic packaging waste at the household level
- Assessment based on cleanliness, material, shape, and visual texture

Strengths:

- AI-powered model improves with time
- Hybrid design (camera + sensors) increases overall reliability
- Demonstrates scalability potential for smart cities

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

Table 9: Common Features Across Prototypes

Feature	Prototypes Implementing It
Real-time image classification	AutoRecycler, Smart Bin System
Edge AI with Jetson Nano	AutoRecycler
Multisensor integration	Multi-sensor system, Smart Bin
Physical actuation (sorting arm/bin)	All
Low-cost hardware platforms	All
Local training/custom datasets	AutoRecycler, Smart Bin

4.1.5 Impact & Deployment Potential

These prototypes reveal strong **real-world potential** for:

- **Municipal applications** (public spaces, MRFs)
- **Institutional settings** (universities, hospitals, airports)
- **Small-scale deployment** (households, offices)
- **Developing regions**, where low-cost solutions are critical

However, real-world implementation still faces challenges:

- Model retraining for new materials or regional packaging
- Resistance to adoption in informal waste economies
- Power, weatherproofing, and maintenance for outdoor bins
- Regulatory and safety certifications

4.1.6 Looking Ahead

The next phase of innovation will involve:

- **Cloud-connected smart bins** with centralized model updates
- **Integration with municipal waste data platforms**
- **Multi-material recognition** including compostables, films, foils

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

- **Use of hyperspectral imaging and digital twins** for industrial sorting (see Kroell et al., 2024)

Key Takeaway

Smart sorting systems are no longer experimental—they are **proven, low-cost, scalable**, and adaptable to local waste contexts. Their real-world prototypes demonstrate that **AI-enabled automation** is the most promising path forward in achieving cleaner plastic streams, higher recycling rates, and reduced environmental leakage.

5 Digital Twins and Plant-Scale Integration

5.1 What Is a Digital Twin?

A **digital twin** is a virtual representation of a physical system that mirrors its real-world counterpart in structure, behavior, and performance. In the context of **waste sorting plants**, a digital twin enables:

- Real-time monitoring of material flows
- Simulation of sorting scenarios before physical implementation
- Data-driven optimization of plant operations
- Predictive maintenance and design iteration

In essence: A digital twin acts as a **smart brain** for a sorting facility, continuously learning and adapting based on data.

5.2 Core Application: Sensor-Based Sorting (SBS) Simulation

The novel framework combines **near-infrared (NIR) sensor data** with **machine learning (ML)** to build predictive models of **sensor-based sorting (SBS) units**. These models serve as the core of the digital twin.

Key Concepts:

Feature	Description
SBS Units	Sensor-Based Sorting units that detect and separate materials (e.g. PE, PET, PP) using NIR spectroscopy and air jets

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

ML Algorithms	Artificial neural networks (ANNs) trained on historical data predict sorting behavior under varying conditions
Input Variables	Occupation density, material share, throughput rate, particle size, contamination
Output Metrics	F1-score (sorting performance), purity, yield, misclassification rate

These simulations allow operators to **predict how performance changes** before physically altering plant conditions.

5.3 Real-Time Feedback Loops

With a digital twin in place, the waste sorting plant enters a **cyber-physical feedback loop**:

Data Collection

- Sensors collect real-time data on material flow, item recognition, air-jet activations, etc.

2. **Model Simulation**
   - The digital twin simulates how current parameters affect sorting efficiency
3. **Optimization Suggestion**
   - System recommends adjustments (e.g., air pressure, belt speed, sorting thresholds)
4. **Process Update**
   - Real-world settings are adjusted via control software
5. **Recalibration**
   - Outcomes are measured and re-fed into the model for learning and future prediction

This loop enables **self-learning**, **self-tuning** plants—critical for dealing with unpredictable, heterogeneous waste streams.

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

5.4 Plant-Scale Integration

Digital twins can be scaled across an entire facility to coordinate:

- **Mechanical separation units** (screens, zigzag classifiers)
- **Optical sorting units** (SBS, cameras, robots)
- **Storage silos** and **baling systems**
- **Energy and maintenance systems**

Each subsystem's behavior is modeled and integrated to optimize:

- **Overall plant yield**
- **Material purity by fraction**
- **Energy consumption**
- **Downtime prevention**

5.5 Benefits of Digital Twin Integration

Benefit	Impact
Process transparency	Understand flow bottlenecks and quality issues
Design optimization	Simulate new layouts before investing in changes
Predictive performance tuning	Adjust to fluctuating input waste streams in real time
Staff support & visualization	Enhance training and operator oversight
Cost savings	Reduce downtime, over-sorting, and energy waste

5.6 Practical Example

Imagine a facility receiving fluctuating loads of lightweight packaging (LWP) waste:

- Monday: High volume, high PET share
- Wednesday: Lower volume, high film contamination

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

- Friday: Seasonal spike in black plastic packaging

Without a digital twin, process parameters (e.g., belt speed, jet delay, classifier thresholds) are fixed or manually adjusted—often suboptimally.

With a digital twin:

- Sorting parameters are **adjusted dynamically**
- Staff receive **performance forecasts** and **automated alerts**
- Simulation tools help test **new scenarios** without risking production downtime

Key Takeaway

Digital twins shift the paradigm of waste sorting from **reactive** to **predictive and adaptive**. By combining **sensor data**, **AI models**, and **real-time feedback loops**, they transform sorting plants into **data-driven, continuously optimized ecosystems**—paving the way for higher recycling efficiency and true circular economy integration.

6 Toward Circular Design and Better Product-Sorting Synergy

6.1 Why Design Matters in Plastic Waste Sorting

Sorting systems—no matter how advanced—can only do so much if **product and packaging design** work against them. Materials that look similar to humans may behave very differently in a sorter, and poor design decisions (like using dark pigments or incompatible multilayers) can **ruin recyclability** even in the best-equipped facilities.

Thus, a truly **circular economy** for plastics must start not just with end-of-life management, but with **smart, intentional design choices** that **enable easier separation and recycling** downstream.

6.2 Packaging Design Features That Affect Sorting

Design Feature	Sorting/Recovery Impact
Color & opacity	Black plastics with carbon-black are invisible to NIR sensors, making them unrecyclable in many MRFs.

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

Multilayer structures	Laminates (e.g., PET/PE/Al) are not mechanically separable → typically incinerated.
Label type & adhesive	Paper labels, strong adhesives, and sleeves interfere with NIR recognition and contaminate bales.
Size & shape	Items < 30 mm (like caps, sachets) often fall through mechanical sieves and are lost.
Additives and fillers	Flame retardants, plasticizers, or glass fiber additives alter polymer behavior and recyclability.
Incompatibility	Combining polymers with different melting points (e.g., PET tray with PE lid) makes reprocessing difficult.

These are **design-phase decisions**—not sorting errors.

6.3 Principles of Design for Sorting & Recycling

To increase the compatibility of products with automated sorting and recycling processes, several key **Design for Recycling (DfR)** and **Design for Sorting (DfS)** principles have been proposed by research, NGOs, and industry consortia (e.g., CEFLEX, RecyClass, Ellen MacArthur Foundation). These include:

1 **Mono-material preference**

- Use **single-polymer constructions** (e.g., 100% PET or 100% PE) where possible.
- Avoid combining materials that cannot be separated easily.

2 **NIR-detectable materials**

- Avoid using **carbon-black pigments** or coatings that absorb NIR light.
- Use **colorants that are transparent to NIR systems**, or better yet, clear plastics.

3 **Removable or compatible labels**

- Design labels, sleeves, and adhesives that are **easy to detach** during pre-wash.
- Use **recyclable label materials** compatible with the base polymer.

4 **Simplified formats**

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

- Avoid unnecessary layers, foil, or metallization.
- Keep packaging size >30 mm where possible to avoid loss in sorting equipment.

5 **Consistent coding and marking**

- Include visible **sorting cues** (e.g., embossing, QR codes, or Digital Product Passports) to support both machine and human separation.

6.4 Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) & Design Integration

Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) evaluates the total environmental impact of a product from raw material extraction through manufacturing, use, and end-of-life.

LCA can help answer:

- Which design has the **lowest total carbon footprint**?
- Is it better to use a **biopolymer or a recyclable petrochemical plastic**?
- Does switching from multi-material to mono-material increase net recyclability?

According to Lange (2021): *Recycling should not be pursued blindly… the smallest possible recycle loop is preferable, maximizing material value and minimizing energy loss.*

For example:

- Replacing a PET/PE laminate with mono-PET may slightly increase material cost but **enables high-yield recycling**, reducing total system emissions.
- A flexible pouch with a zipper may be consumer-friendly, but if not sortable, its environmental cost at end-of-life outweighs its convenience.

6.5 Product-Sorting Synergy: From Linear to Circular

In a linear system:

- Design prioritizes cost and shelf-appeal.
- Sorting is an afterthought.
- 60%+ of plastic waste ends up landfilled or incinerated.

In a circular system:

- Design aligns with known sorting pathways (e.g., NIR compatibility).

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

- Producers use **certified design guidelines** (e.g., RecyClass, APR).
- Materials loop back into production with **minimal loss of quality**.

Circular design = designing with the end in mind.

6.6 Real-World Example

- **Clear PET bottle with sleeve label**
  - ❌ Full-body opaque sleeve: misclassified as non-recyclable
  - ✅ Perforated sleeve: removed before sorting, bottle recognized as PET
- **Flexible PE pouch with aluminum layer**
  - ❌ Multilayer film: cannot be separated → incinerated
  - ✅ Mono-PE film with printable barrier coating: accepted in flexible recycling stream

6.7 Next Steps for Industry and Policy

To fully support design-sorting synergy:

- **Producers** must adopt verified DfR standards during packaging development.
- **Sorters and recyclers** must share performance feedback upstream.
- **Policy makers** can incentivize eco-design through Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) and recyclability scoring.

Key Takeaway

Sorting technology cannot overcome bad design. A circular economy for plastics requires a **fundamental redesign of packaging** with sorting and recycling in mind—from the earliest stages of product development.

Through **DfS, DfR, and LCA-informed design**, producers can ensure that the materials they introduce into the market remain **traceable, sortable, and recyclable**—unlocking both environmental and economic value.

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

References

[1] Echeverry, A. P., & López, C. F. (2024). AUTORECYCLER: Prototype using artificial vision for automatic waste classification and separation. HardwareX, 16, e00450. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ohx.2024.e00450

[2] Kroell, N., Warth, J., Hermann, C., & Grüner, C. (2024). Towards digital twins of waste sorting plants using machine learning and near-infrared sensor data. Resources, Conservation & Recycling, 204, 107361. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2024.107361

[3] Lange, J.-P. (2021). Managing plastic waste—Sorting, recycling, disposal, and product redesign. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 9(39), 12749–12763. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.1c05013

[4] Pučnik, R., Rac, A., Verdel, T., & Vodušek, D. (2024). Development and evaluation of smart waste bin systems for classification of plastic packaging waste using deep learning and sensor technologies. Journal of Cleaner Production, 453, 141499. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.141499

[5] Son, J., & Ahn, Y. (2025). AI-based plastic waste sorting method utilizing object detection models: YOLO v8 vs. Mask R-CNN. Waste Management, 181, 79–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2024.12.015

[6] Srivastava, P., Agarwal, M., Yadav, R. S., & Dixit, M. (2021). Automatic waste identification and segregation system using machine learning. Materials Today: Proceedings, 47, 639–644. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.04.140

[7] Taneepanichskul, N., Ocampo, B., Rodriguez, K., & Narayan, R. (2022). A review of sorting and separating technologies for compostable and biodegradable plastic packaging waste in mechanical and organic recycling streams. Frontiers in Sustainability, 3, 901885. https://doi.org/10.3389/frsus.2022.901885

[8] Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., Zhang, D., Liu, Q., Chen, Y., Wang, Y., & Zhang, G. (2023). A comprehensive review of separation technologies for waste plastics in urban mines. Resources, Conservation & Recycling, 195, 106835. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2023.106835

[9] Alaghemandi, M. (2024). Sustainable solutions through innovative plastic waste recycling technologies. Sustainability, 16(23), 10401.

[10] Lubongo, C., Bin Daej, M. A. A., & Alexandridis, P. (2024). Recent developments in technology for sorting plastic for recycling: The emergence of artificial intelligence and the rise of the robots. Recycling, 9(4), 59.

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

Webography

[1] UNEP, https://www.unep.org/resources/global-waste-management-outlook-2024

[2] European Commission, https://environment.ec.europa.eu/topics/circular-economy_en

[3] Tomra, https://www.tomra.com/about-tomra/circular-economy/mixed-waste-sorting

[4] COREPLA, https://www.interregeurope.eu/good-practices/corepla-mixed-plastic-waste-sorting-and-recycling-contracts

[5] IEA Bioenergy, https://www.ieabioenergy.com/blog/publications/advanced-sorting-technologies-in-the-waste-sector-case-studies-compilation/

[6] Zero Waste Europe, https://zerowasteeurope.eu/library/mixed-waste-sorting-to-meet-the-eus-circular-economy-objectives/

[7] Recycling inside, https://recyclinginside.com/recycling-technology/separation-and-sorting-technology/innovations-in-advanced-sorting-technologies-for-recyclable-materials/

[8] KORE, https://www.korewireless.com/news/benefits-of-smart-waste-management

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.